

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/722,599	Applicant(s) MAEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin M. Bernatz	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Corwin Umbach.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 October 2006

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

15, 22, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that amendment to include the material limitations of the crystal orientaiton layer via incorporating the subject matter of claim 22 into claim 15, would appear to result in patentability by distinguishing over generically coated substrates (such as a NiP coated Al substrate). Applicants agreed to the proposed amendment..